

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

OFFICE OF THE DEAN OF ACADEMIC AFFAIRS

COURSE EVALUATION REPORT FOR INSTRUCTORS

ACAD-YEAR : 2025-26

SEMESTER : 2

COURSE NO. : ECO528

COURSE LOAD : 3-0-0- (L-T-P-D)

INSTRUCTOR : MOHAMMAD ARSHAD RAHMAN

PRINT DATE : 07-09-2026

COURSE TITLE : BAYESIAN METHODS IN FINANCE

QNO	RESPONSES	PER-0	PER-1	PER-2	PER-3	PER-4	INSTRUCTOR AVG.	INSTITUTE AVG.
1	30	0	0	0	17	83	3.83	3.28
2	30	0	3	7	20	70	3.57	3.35
3	30	0	0	10	30	60	3.50	3.25
4	30	0	3	3	23	70	3.60	3.27
5	30	0	0	0	13	87	3.87	3.68
6	30	0	0	7	20	73	3.67	3.59
7	30	3	7	7	17	67	3.37	3.38
8	30	0	10	13	30	47	3.13	3.32
9	30	0	3	20	10	67	3.40	3.31
10	30	0	7	0	33	60	3.47	3.43
11	30	0	0	3	10	87	3.83	3.62
12	30	0	0	10	7	83	3.73	3.40
13	30	0	0	13	23	63	3.50	3.37

INSTRUCTOR SCORE:3.52

ABBREVIATIONS:

QNO: Question No.

PER-0: Percentage of 0's

PER-1: Percentage of 1's

PER-2: Percentage of 2's

PER-3: Percentage of 3's

PER-4: Percentage of 4's



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

STUDENT EVALUATION OF COURSE AND INSTRUCTION FOR ALL COURSES

Dear Student : Please respond to each statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to the Institute's ongoing efforts to improve the curriculum. Your response will remain confidential. The forms will be available to the instructor only after the semester has ended. Use the following five point scale and blacken the circle that best represents your opinion. If a statement is not applicable, blacken the circle "NA".

Not Applicable	Not at All	< Average	= Average	> Average	Very much so
NA	0	1	2	3	4

IF SOME OF THE COMMENTS ARE NOT APPLICABLE TO THE COURSE, i.e. COMMENTS ON LAB WORK IN MATHEMATICS COURSE. THEN THE CORRESPONDING SPACES SHOULD BE LEFT BLANK.

Number of Classes you missed : Nil 0 - 5 6 - 10 11 - 12 > 15
Number of Tutorial you missed : Nil 0 - 5 6 - 10 11 - 12 > 15

Academic Year : 20_____

Semester : 1 / 2 or Summer

Course Title :

Course Number

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

What are the strengths and weakness of the Course?

--

C o u r s e N u m b e r		A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z
		A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z
		A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z
		0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
		0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
		0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
	A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z	

Instructor Code

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Name of the Instructor :

What are the strengths and weakness of the Instructor?

--

Name of the Tutor :

Course Number

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Any constructive suggestions for improving the tutorials ?

Please do not comment if course has only discussion hour.

--

Name of the Laboratory Instructor/Tutor :

Any constructive suggestions for improving the tutorials / experiments ?

--

Tutor's Code

0 0
1 1
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7
8 8
9 9

Lab. Inst./Tut. Code

0 0
1 1
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7
8 8
9 9



PART - 1 COURSE

S No.	Details	NA	0	1	2	3	4
1	The assignments helped better understand the course material	☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
2	Text/reference material was easily available	☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
3	Exams truly reflected the grasp of the course material	☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
4	Grading of exams, quizzes etc was consistent.	☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4

PART - II INSTRUCTION Course Instructor

PART - II	INSTRUCTION	Course Instructor	NA	0	1	2	3	4
5	All allocated lectures were delivered by the instructor(s)		☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
6	The course syllabus was by-and-large covered		☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
7	Fundamental principles were well emphasized		☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
8	The board work/visual aids were of good quality		☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
9	The presentation of lecture material was well organized and evenly paced		☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
10	The questions raised in the class were satisfactorily answered		☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
11	All components of continuous assessment (quizzes, exams etc) in the FCH were conducted		☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
12	Graded answer-books for quizzes, exams etc were shown in a timely manner		☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
13	Overall, the instructor taught well		☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4

PART - III Course Tutor

PART - III	Course Tutor	NA	0	1	2	3	4
14	Questions were encouraged and answered effectively	☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
15	All allocated tutorials were conducted	☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
16	Overall, the tutor was effective	☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4

PART - IV Course Laboratory Instructor/Tutor

PART - IV	Course Laboratory Instructor/Tutor	NA	0	1	2	3	4
17	Laboratory sessions conducted were useful in understanding the fundamental concepts	☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
18	Equipment available in the laboratory was well-maintained and functional	☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4
19	Laboratory Instructor/Tutor ensured smooth conduct of experiments	☐	☐ 0	☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3	☐ 4